



Overview and update on remote sensing technology for **R**EDD

Yasumasa Hirata


Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute




Forest monitoring using remote sensing

- Unique technique of forest monitoring widely and retrospectively.
- Essential tool for identify deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries

Satellite imagery in Manaus, Amazon




Gap between remote sensing and definitions of forest degradation and deforestation




Observation Techniques toward REDD



Monitoring of forests by remote sensing



Forest monitoring using satellite remote sensing



No leakage in the area.
 Coat is large.
 Difficulty of acquiring cloud-free data.
 Applicability for local policy is large.




Satellite data

Wall-to-wall


Sampling

Accuracy for sampling rate.
 Coat is effective.
 Acquiring cloud-free data is relatively easy.
 Applicability for local policy ?



Object-oriented classification

- The object-oriented approach is effective in segmenting an area that consists of various land cover types into objects with extensions of similar properties (Lamonaca et al. 2008).
- Classification results that is similar to human interpretation
- Advantage of handling by object (segment)



The challenges of forest monitoring



Deforestation (Area)

Forest vs. Non-forest

Deforestation (Carbon stock)

Classification of forest types


Degradation

Incremental change

Crown extraction by high resolution satellite

More challenging !


Two types of forest monitoring required for REDD



Monitoring of deforestation

- Extracting changes of land use category
- Using properties of reflectance of each category
- Comparing multi-temporal
- Available to identify forest type change

Deforestation in Malaysia
Landsat imagery
Upper 1989 yr, lower 2001 yr




Monitoring of forest degradation

- Various causes of degradation
 - Selective (illegal) logging
 - Forest fire
 - Intensive shifting cultivation
 - development
- Development of method as to each cause of degradation is required




Detection of illegal deforestation using ALOS-PALSAR



Monitoring of sifting cultivation by ASTER images



(provided Mr. Naoyuki Furuya, JIRCAS)



2002/2/9

2005/2/1


2003/3/16

2006/3/8

Image pre-processing

Object-oriented classification

6 years - shifting cultivation distribution map



2001	Blue	2002	Cyan	2003	Green
2004	Yellow	2005	Orange	2006	Red

Monitoring of sifting cultivation for six years



Monitoring of forest degradation

Technology already exists and many countries have been using such technologies to develop forest carbon inventories (for example, remote sensing technologies).

There is a need to expand these technologies for monitoring forest degradation and associated GHG emissions and changes in carbon stocks.

Although some gaps exist, waiting for replacement by another promising technology will be a time-consuming process.

Chair's Summary of Key Messages from the Informal Meeting of Experts on Methodological Issues relating to Reducing Emissions from Forest Degradation in Developing Countries

20 - 21 October 2008, Bonn, Germany

Which one do you select?




Conventional
RS


Field survey






VHR satellite



LiDAR



SAR




Keeping sharp eyes out for the Earth



IKONOS – 0.82m
9. 1999



THEOS – 2.5m
10. 2008





QuickBird - 0.61m
10. 2001





WorldView– 0.5m
9. 2007

SPOT-5 – 2.5m
5. 2002



ALOS PRISM – 2.5m
1. 2006

KOMPSAT– 1.0m
7. 2006




Forest degradation using high resolution satellite data



(provided Mr. Naoyuki Furuya, JIRCAS)



1. Mapping of illegal logging using multi-temporal 2.5 m-resolution satellite images




PRISM2006/11/27

PRISM2008/3/1

2. Estimating carbon stock from interpretation of QuickBird data





Mapping of illegal logging




Comparison of CD between ground and satellite measurements

3-D forest measurement with LiDAR as alternative of ground-based survey

A part of the laser beam reflects on canopy .
The rest goes through canopy and reflects on the ground.



Measurement of ground and canopy surface



Combination of several factors

- Data availability
 - Acquisition (spatial, temporal), seasonality
- Data property
 - Ground resolution, optical vs. radar (vs. dimension)
- Monitoring cost
 - High resolution < very high resolution ?
- Cause of forest degradation





Thank you for your attention!

